

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/654,174             | ROMERO ET AL.       |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Jeffrey T. Barton      | 1753                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas Nemo.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 January 2007

**Time:** 4:30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called Mr. Nemo to inquire whether a reply had been sent to the Office Action of 13 April 2006. Mr. Nemo indicated that no reply had been sent*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)